Beryllium-10 surface exposure dating of glacial successions in the Central Alaska Range
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ABSTRACT: Glacial landforms and outwash terraces in the Nenana River valley, Reindeer Hills and Monahan Flat in the central Alaska Range were dated with 60 10Be exposure ages to determine the timing of Late Pleistocene glaciation. In the Nenana River valley, glaciation occurred at 104–180 ka (Lignite Creek glaciation), ca. 55 ka (Healy glaciation), and ca. 16 ka (Carlo Creek phase); glaciers retreated in the Reindeer Hills and Monahan Flat by ca. 14 ka and ca. 13 ka, respectively. The Carlo Creek moraine is similar in age to at least six other moraines in the Alaska Range, Akhllun Mountains and Brooks Range. The new data suggest that post-depositional geological processes limit the usefulness of 10Be methods to the latter part (<60 ka) of the late Quaternary in central Alaska. Ages on Healy and younger landforms cluster well, with the exception of Riley Creek moraines and Monahan Flat-west sites, where boulders were likely affected by post-depositional processes. Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.
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Introduction

Reconstructions of palaeoenvironmental change with proxy data such as the glacial geological record enable the development and testing of predictive models for future environmental change (LIGA Members, 1991). The most severe environmental changes are expected to occur in high-latitude regions such as Alaska (Arendt et al., 2002; IPCC, 2007). Fortunately, these regions contain abundant glacial geological evidence that may be used to reconstruct palaeoclimatic conditions, which in turn can be used to test predictive models. Determining the timing of glaciation based on glacial geological evidence is challenging, but newly developing dating methods such as terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide dating is helping to define the ages of glacial successions (Gosse and Phillips, 2001). The moraines and terraces in the central Alaska Range comprise some of the most detailed Pleistocene records of glaciation in Alaska (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Thorson and Hamilton, 1976; Ten Brink and Waythomas,1985; Thorson, 1986). However, these landforms have not been previously dated in detail (Briner and Kaufman, 2008). Using 10Be terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide surface exposure dating (10Be dating), we examine and develop a quantitative chronology for glaciation in the central Alaska Range, focusing on the Nenana River valley, Reindeer Hills and Monahan Flat areas (Fig. 1). This chronology can be used by future researchers to develop detailed palaeoenvironmental histories for the region to aid in modelling environmental change. Furthermore, geologists working on tectonics in this seismically active region can utilise our chronology to help determine rates and magnitudes of crustal displacement for seismic hazard mitigation (Matmon et al., 2006).

Regional setting

The Alaska Range in south-central Alaska is a convex northern mountain belt that stretches E–W for ~950 km and is 80–200 km wide (Fig. 1). The relief is impressive, rising from forelands <1000 m above sea level (a.s.l.) to the highest peak, Denali (formerly known as Mount McKinley) in North America, at 6194 m a.s.l. The central Alaska Range was produced by the collision of an island-arc assemblage with the former North American continental margin, which has progressively deformed throughout the late Mesozoic and Cenozoic, and is still undergoing active surface deformation (Ridgway et al., 2002; Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2003; Matmon et al., 2006). The range crest separates the maritime climate of southern Alaska from the colder continental climate of the Alaskan interior (Capps, 1940). The Alaska Range marks the northern limit of the Cordilleran Ice Sheet, but outlet glaciers and isolated alpine
glaciers on the northern slopes of the Alaska Range advanced northward into the foreland (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Hamilton and Thorson, 1983).

Study areas

Three regions were examined in the central Alaska Range: the Nenana River valley on the northern side of the Alaska Range, and Reindeer Hills and the Monahan Flat of the southern side (Fig. 1).

The Nenana River valley traverses the central and northern part of the Alaska Range and contains a well-preserved succession of moraines and terraces (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Fig. 2). The bedrock comprises the Birch Creek Schist and the Cantwell Formation, which includes conglomerate, sandstone, shale, argillite and volcanic rocks (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Thorson, 1986). The head of the Nenana River valley forms a deep U-shaped corridor (~15 km long, 3.5 km wide and 1.5 km deep) that was deepened by north-flowing outlet glaciers from the Cordilleran Ice Sheet that coalesced with the local Yanert Glacier (Hamilton and Thorson, 1983; Thorsen and Bender, 1985; Thorson, 1986). North of the confluence, the Nenana River valley narrows to form a glacial trough ~2.5 km wide and ~1.5 km deep (Thorson, 1986). The valley contains numerous well-preserved north-sloping glacial trimlines recording successive advances and is wider (~7~10 km) north of the glacial trough as it traverses the more easily eroded substrate that comprises the Nenana Gravel (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Thorson, 1986).

In the Nenana River valley, Wahrhaftig (1958) and Thorson (1986) identified at least seven sets of moraines and assigned these to Pleistocene glaciations, which they termed the Taklanika (oldest), Browne, Bear Creek, Lignite Creek (Wahrhaftig, 1958, original Dry Creek advance), Healy, Riley Creek 1 and 2 phases and Carlo Creek phase (youngest; Fig. 2 and Table 1). Numerical dating of these events is limited to seven radiocarbon ages on the Riley Creek 1 and 2 and Carlo Creek glacial landforms, constraining them to the late Wisconsin glaciation, and one tephra (175 ± 12 ka to 378 ± 67 ka using thermoluminescence (TL) and 40Ar/39Ar method, respectively) in the Lignite Creek moraine (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Ten Brink and Waythomas, 1985; Bégét and Keskinen, 1991; Reger et al., 1996). Additional moraines are located in the Eight Mile Lake region (Fig. 2), but their relationship to the Nenana moraine sequence is unresolved (Thorson, 1986).

The Reindeer Hills, near the town of Windy on the southern side of the Alaska Range, is an 8 km long ridge that rises ~700 m above the valley floor to ~1300 m a.s.l. (supporting information, Figs 1SI and 2SI). The hills comprise schist and metasedimentary rocks. Five glaciated benches flank the Reindeer Hills, sloping ~1/2° to the west. Wahrhaftig (1958) suggested that glaciers covered the Reindeer Hills, leaving only the uppermost peaks as nunataks during the Riley Creek glaciation.

The Monahan Flat is a glaciated lowland at an altitude of ~800 m a.s.l. and is located 30 km east of the Reindeer Hills on the southern side of the Alaska Range; it stretches for ~40 km and is ~24 km wide. During glacial times the Nenana, West Fork and Susitna glaciers advanced into this lowland, flowing west towards the Reindeer Hills region and through Broad Pass (supporting information, Fig. 2SI; Wahrhaftig, 1958).
Methods

We used the mapping of Wahrhaftig (1958), Thorson and Hamilton (1976) and Thorson (1986) as a framework for our study to identify Lignite Creek (most extensive), Healy, Riley Creek 1, Riley Creek 2, and the Carlo Creek glacier landforms and sediments. Thorson and Hamilton (1976) recognised the Riley Creek 1, Riley Creek 2, and the Carlo Creek landforms and deposits as belonging to the Late Wisconsin glaciation (Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 2). The mapping of moraines and outwash terraces by Thorson and Hamilton (1976) was verified in the field, aided by aerial photography, a 2" National Elevation Dataset digital elevation model (DEM) (obtained from US Geological Survey, 2007) and Landsat-7 satellite imagery (University of Maryland; http://glcf.umiacs.umd.edu/data). Sediments were described and logged using the methods and nomenclature of Evans and Benn (2004).

Thirty-two samples from boulders and glacially polished bedrock for 10Be dating were located by traversing the length of each moraine, drumlin and regions of polished bedrock for each glaciation (Lignite Creek through Carlo Creek). An additional 23 samples were collected from glacial landforms south of the range divide (Reindeer Hills and Monahan Flat) to obtain rates of ice retreat after the last glacial. Finally, five samples for a 10Be depth profile were collected from a gravel pit excavated to 2 m depth in the Lignite Creek moraine. The sedimentary structures were plotted onto graphic sedimentary logs (supporting information, Fig. 3SI).

Table 1  Glacial stages in the Nenana River valley, their assigned ages as defined by previous researchers and revised suggested ages based on this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacial stage (morphostratigraphic order)</th>
<th>Wahrhaftig (1958)</th>
<th>Thorson (1986)</th>
<th>Begét et al. (1991)</th>
<th>Range of ages (ka)</th>
<th>Weighted mean (ka)</th>
<th>This study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monahan Flat east</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13–14</td>
<td>13.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>ca. 13 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monahan Flat west</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13–34</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reindeer Hills</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>14.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>ca. 14 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlo Creek*</td>
<td>Late Wisconsin</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13–22</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.8</td>
<td>ca. 16 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley Creek 2 event</td>
<td>Late Wisconsin</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9–61</td>
<td>11.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riley Creek 1 event</td>
<td>Late Wisconsin</td>
<td>Late Wisconsin</td>
<td>Late Wisconsin</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healy</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>&gt;Late Wisconsin</td>
<td>ca. 60 ka</td>
<td>28–59</td>
<td>54.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>ca. 55 ka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignite Creek</td>
<td>Coupled with Healy stage</td>
<td>Separate advance</td>
<td>ca. 140 ka</td>
<td>13–104</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>104–180 ka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Referred to by Thorson (1986) as the Carlo Readvance.
Sampling boulders where evidence of exhumation and/or slope failure was apparent was avoided in all study locations.

The location, geomorphic setting, lithology, size, shape and weathering features were recorded for each sample (Table 2; supporting information, Table 1SI). Quartz-rich boulders >1 m high were chosen for sampling when possible to reduce the possibility of shielding for significant periods by snow, moss or former loess cover (Fig. 3). The upper 1–5 cm of each boulder was sampled with hammer and chisel. Topographic shielding was determined by measuring the inclination from the boulder to the top of the surrounding horizon. Multiple samples (ranging from 3 to 13) were collected from each glacial limit (Fig. 4). In addition, two boulders (Ala 126 A/B and Ala 137 A/B) were sampled twice to check for the effects of differential weathering on exposure age (Fig. 3(D)).

Isolation of quartz and chemistry followed the methods of Kohl and Nishiizumi (1992) and is described in detail in Dortch et al. (2009). SPEX beryllium standard (trace ICP/ICP-MS grade) at 1000 µg mL⁻¹ in 2% HNO₃, was used for all samples and blanks. Ten chemical blanks were processed and had a weighted mean¹⁰Be/¹⁰Be ratio of 3.91 × 10⁻ⁱ⁴ ± 0.58 × 10⁻¹⁴. The Purdue Rare Isotope Measurement (PRIME) Laboratory accelerator mass spectrometer was calibrated using standard 200500020 from KN Standard Be 0152 with a ¹⁰Be/¹⁰Be ratio of 9.465 × 10⁻¹⁵. All ¹⁰Be/¹⁰Be ratios were converted to the revised ICN of Nishiizumi et al. (2007), which is assumed to be the most correct standard and requires a production rate of 4.5¹⁰Be.¹⁰Be atoms a⁻¹ and a half-life of 1.36 Ma for age calculation (PRIME Laboratory, 2007). Because the samples were measured on the PRIME Laboratory accelerator mass spectrometer, all reported ¹⁰Be ages were calculated using the (PRIME) Laboratory Rock Age Calculator with the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) scaling scheme, which accounts for spallagenic and fast/slow muogenic ¹⁰Be production (http://www.physics.purdue.edu/primelab/News/news0907.php).

¹⁰Be ages

¹⁰Be ages are shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2. These are not corrected for boulder weathering. There are two sets of factors that affect ¹⁰Be ages: uncertainties associated with the ¹⁰Be production rate, scaling factors, geomagnetic corrections and accelerator mass spectrometric (AMS) measurements combined with sample preparation and carrier uncertainties; and those involving geological processes, including shielding by sediment/snow cover, exhumation of bedrock surfaces or boulders, stability of boulders and inheritance of ¹⁰Be. Scaling uncertainties are not a major concern within our study area since all our locations are at high latitude and relatively low altitude (see KN ages in Table 2; Balco et al., 2008). Although there is uncertainty in absolute production rates, our samples are from the same geographical area so age comparisons between samples are isolated from production rate uncertainties. AMS measurement, sample preparation, and age calculation uncertainties can have a maximum of 50% error (Ala-19) in our dataset, but is more typically 10%. The large range in ¹⁰Be ages, such as those from the Lignite Creek moraine, is attributed to geological processes. The greatest uncertainties in our dataset are those associated with geological factors. With the exception of inheritance, these factors yield ages that are younger than the true age of the surface. The ¹⁰Be ages for each landform are analysed using the mean square of weighted deviates (MSWD; McDougall and Harrison, 1999) to assess whether they could statistically represent one population or event, such as moraine stabilisation. Outliers are removed iteratively from the dataset until the MSWD is ∼1 or less. Samples from Lignite Creek (Ala-135–137 and 156), Healy (Ala-157), Riley Creek 1 (Ala-15-20), Riley Creek 2 (Ala-120 and 121) landforms and the Reindeer Hills (Ala-158) and Monahan Flat West (Ala-40–43, 143, 147 and 148) were eliminated to identify strong age clusters. Scattered datasets that do not pass MSWD analysis (i.e. less than three ages after removal of outliers) were defined using principles of morphostratigraphy. The impact of geological factors is likely small for groups of boulders that pass MSWD analysis. One limitation of the MSWD analysis is that clusters of ages that pass the test may not necessarily represent the age of moraine deposition but rather a postglacial erosional event.

Moraine successions

Nenana River valley

Landforms and previous work are discussed below in stratigraphic order (oldest to youngest). Following a brief description of the landforms associated with each ice limit, we present our new ¹⁰Be age constraints.

Lignite Creek glaciation

Lignite Creek landforms and deposits are defined by a nearly continuous moraine ridge with slopes of ~20° and a crest that rises ~30 m above Panguingue Creek (Fig. 2). The moraine is located north of Otto Lake and extends ~80 km beyond the contemporary snout of Yanert Glacier. South of Otto Lake and in the glacial trough the moraine is discontinuous, covered in frost-shattered rubble, and has occasional small angular boulders. West of Otto Lake, granitic boulders are sparse and the moraine crest is well vegetated with shrubs and trees.

Boulders on the crest (Ala-137A/B and 156) and the northern slopes (Ala-135 and 136) of the moraine were sampled for ¹⁰Be dating. Boulder samples from the Lignite moraine have ¹⁰Be ages that range from 13.4 ± 1.8 to 103.9 ± 8.2 ka⁹⁶⁶⁹ (Fig. 4 and Table 2). These scattered ages do not pass MSWD analysis.

The Stampede Gravel Pit (63.88811°N, 149.10228°W), located in the moraine north of Otto Lake, comprises stratified gravel and tephra (Stampede Tephra), and is capped by ~35 cm of loess and over lain by fill (supporting information, Fig. 3SI; Beget and Keskinen, 1991). The Stampede Tephra has been correlated using major oxide concentrations in glass separates found in eastern Beringia and the upper Cook Inlet (Reger et al., 1996; Beget, 2001). Reger et al. (1996) reported two ages with 1σ error (175 ± 12 ka and 181 ± 19 ka) for the tephra in the Cook Inlet using TL methods on loess. Beget (2001) determined an ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar age with 1σ error of 378 ± 67 ka on the tephra, but he suspected that the tephra age was overestimated due to contamination by older feldspar within the tephra. TL dating provides a maximum age of ca. 180 ka for the Lignite Creek glaciation, which Beget and Keskinen (1991) suggested to have occurred ca. 140 ka or earlier.

¹⁰Be depth profile samples (Ala-201–205) were collected from a 2 m deep pit in the moraine at Stampede Gravel Pit (supporting information, Fig. 3SI), yielding a minimum age of 78 ka. Corrections for the ~35 cm thick loess cover, transient loess cover and surface erosion would increase the modelled
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Name</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m.a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Boulder size (g)</th>
<th>Breadth/size length/width/height (m)</th>
<th>Unconnected Quartz (g)</th>
<th>Uncorrected (^{10}Be) atoms/g</th>
<th>Corrected (^{14}C) atoms/g</th>
<th>PRIME Lab</th>
<th>CRONUS Lab</th>
<th>(^{14}C) Age (k.a.)</th>
<th>(^{10}Be) (k.a.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala-12A</td>
<td>63.610</td>
<td>149.777</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.21 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.72</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-12B</td>
<td>63.606</td>
<td>149.777</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19.37 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-12C</td>
<td>63.606</td>
<td>149.777</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.82 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-12D</td>
<td>63.606</td>
<td>149.777</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24.08 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-13A</td>
<td>63.599</td>
<td>148.799</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.29 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-13B</td>
<td>63.599</td>
<td>148.799</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.90 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-13C</td>
<td>63.599</td>
<td>148.799</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.00 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-13D</td>
<td>63.599</td>
<td>148.799</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.89 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-13E</td>
<td>63.599</td>
<td>148.799</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.00 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-13F</td>
<td>63.599</td>
<td>148.799</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24.08 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>13.56 ± 1.72</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.2</td>
<td>15.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>17.9 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table continues...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Name</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Be carrier</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Shielding correction</th>
<th>vBe + error 10^4 atoms g^-1</th>
<th>Age KN</th>
<th>Age std</th>
<th>Uncorrected</th>
<th>Corrected</th>
<th>PRIME Lab CRONUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ala-151</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-152</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-153</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-154</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-155</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-156</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-157</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-158</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-159</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-160</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-161</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-162</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-163</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-164</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala-165</td>
<td>31.238</td>
<td>87.278</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>2.251</td>
<td>1.231</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
<td>1.8522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume zero erosion rate, standard pressure and ρ = 2.7 g cm^-3 for all samples.
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depth profile $^{10}$Be age and would add a significant error to the age. Moreover, surficial processes including denudation and weathering, which clearly affected $^{10}$Be boulder ages, likely affected the surface of the moraine as well.

Inheritance of the oldest moraine boulder (Ala-137B at 104 ka) is not likely because the old age is in agreement with the minimum depth profile age (>78 ka), which could easily correlate with Ala-137B if the contemporary loess cover is taken into account. Sample Ala-137B may have a complex exposure history due to boulder surface erosion and exhumation; however, it is the best minimum approximation for the Lignite Creek glaciation. Using the oldest boulder (Ala-137B at 104 ka) for the age of the Lignite Creek glaciation makes sense because the oldest boulder (Ala-137B at 104 ka) is in agreement with the minimum depth profile age (>78 ka), which could easily correlate with Ala-137B if the contemporary loess cover is taken into account. Sample Ala-137B may have a complex exposure history due to boulder surface erosion and exhumation; however, it is the best minimum approximation for the Lignite Creek glaciation.

**Figure 3** Typical boulders in the Nenana valley. (A) Sample Ala-137A/B from large 8 m granitic boulder from the Lignite creek Moraine. (B) Sample Ala-25 (1.3 m) from a soft boulder on top of a Healy age drumlin. (C) Large 2.6 m granitic boulder (Ala-121) with a hard surface from the Riley creek moraine. (D) Differential weathering of a 2.2 m soft boulder (Ala-126A/B) located on the Carlo event moraine. (E) Sample Ala-133 from boulder with a hard surface located on the Carlo moraine. (F) Hard 1.5 m surfaced boulder (Ala-159) located on a glacial bench on Reindeer Hills.

**Figure 4** $^{10}$Be ages grouped by landform. The landforms are arranged in morphostratigraphic order, with the oldest (Teklanka) on the left and the youngest (Monahan Flat) on the right. $^{10}$Be ages for boulders in each glacial stage are arranged in order of decreasing age. Erosion is not accounted for in the $^{10}$Be ages. The ages shown in red were identified as outliers through MSWD analysis and were not included in $\bar{M}_w$ ages. Ages shown in blue are from scattered datasets and follow morphostratigraphic order.
and 59.0). These were sampled for 10Be dating (Ala-11–13 and 157). The glaciation.

However, there was no previous numerical age control for this glaciation. The Healy glaciation should be assigned to MIS 4 or 6.

Most of the Riley Creek 1 terminal moraine has been significantly affected by erosion. However, lateral moraine remnants, hummocky moraine, glacial boulders and ice marginal drainages are still preserved (Thorson and Hamilton, 1976). The lateral moraine surface slopes northwards towards the Nenana River at \(-10°\). The low slope angles, compared to the older moraines in the Nenana River valley, suggest that a significant amount of erosion has occurred since its formation (Thorson and Hamilton, 1976).

Five boulders (Ala-15, 16, 18, 19 and 20) were sampled for \(^{10}\)Be dating from the surface of hummocky moraine, yielding \(^{10}\)Be ages that range from ca. 0.9 ± 0.1 to 9.8 ± 1.8 ka (Fig. 4 and Table 2). These ages do not pass MSWD analysis; therefore we could not determine a numerical age for this moraine based on \(^{10}\)Be dating. The Riley Creek 1 ages likely reflect erosion and exhumation of boulders after early stabilisation of the moraine, and is consistent with the view of Wahrhaftig (1958) and Thorson (1986) that this moraine has been significantly eroded since deposition. However, we can define its age as between 30 cal. ka BP and the Carlo Creek event (see below) at 16.0 ± 1.8 ka (Hamilton, 1982; Thorson, 1986; Begét and Keskinen, 1991).

Riley Creek 2

Wahrhaftig (1958) and Thorson and Hamilton, (1976) described a composite moraine south of the Riley Creek 1 phase ice limit that defines the limit of the Riley Creek 2 phase (Fig. 2). The moraine comprises till and gravel deformed into a recumbent fold. The Riley Creek 2 moraine is a nearly continuous ridge that can be traced for >1 km, rises between 20 and 35 m above the valley floor and has slopes of 30–35°. Hummocky ground moraine exists within the Riley Creek 2 limit.

Wahrhaftig (1958) cites a radiocarbon age of 10 560 ± 200 \(^{14}\)C a BP (12 380 ± 290 cal. a BP) on peat within till that is overlain by lacustrine sediment. Wahrhaftig (1958) believed that the peat was deposited after the maximum extent of the Riley Creek 2 phase of the late Wisconsin glaciation, but before the melting of stagnant ice that was covered with till. Ten Brink and Waythomas (1985) provided a maximum radiocarbon age of 13 500 ± 420 \(^{14}\)C a BP (16 380 ± 760 cal. a BP) from plant debris in ice-dammed lake sediments under the Riley Creek 2 moraine. Therefore previous studies have constrained the age of the Riley Creek 2 phase to be between ca. 16.4 and ca. 12.4 ka.

Five samples (Ala-119–123) for \(^{10}\)Be dating collected from boulders on the hummocky ground moraine of the Riley Creek 2 phase (Fig. 2) have \(^{10}\)Be ages ranging from 9.0 ± 2.8 to 61.0 ± 9.1 ka (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The boulders have an \(M_0\) of 11.0 ± 2.9 ka with an MSWD indicator of 0.22 after removing two outliers (Ala-120 and 121). This age is within error of the radiocarbon chronology. However, the \(M_0\) age of the Riley Creek 2 phase presents a problem because it is not in morphostratigraphic agreement with the ages from the Carlo Creek phase of the late Wisconsin glaciation, Reindeer Hills and Monahan Flat (see below). We suggest that the 22 \(^{10}\)Be ages from the Carlo Creek phase, Reindeer Hills and south-central Monahan Flat localities are a more robust chronology than the three clustered ages from the Riley Creek 2 moraine. We therefore interpret the young age cluster of Riley Creek 2

1 All calibrated radiocarbon ages in this paper were calculated using CalPal (http://www.calpal-online.de/index.html)

Healy glaciation

The Healy glaciation is represented by a nearly continuous lateral frontal moraine complex ~70 km from the contemporary snout of Yanert Glacier (Fig. 2). The moraine consists of 10 individual ridges. The main (outermost) ridge has a crest that is 10–20 m wide with slopes of ≤30°. The Healy glaciation advance represents the last time ice advanced out of the Nenana glacial trough into the foreland. Thorson and Hamilton (1976), Thorson (1986) and Begét and Keskinen (1991) suggested that the Healy glaciation should be assigned to MIS 4 or 6. However, there was no previous numerical age control for this glaciation.

Only four glacial boulders were found on the Healy moraine; these were sampled for \(^{10}\)Be dating (Ala-11–13 and 157). The condition of these boulders ranges from weathered boulders with weathering pits, weathering rinds, granular disintegration and soft surfaces to fresh boulders with clean, sharp fractures (supporting information, Table 1SI). Five additional samples were collected from Antenna Hill, which is located 3 km southeast of Otto Lake and inside the Healy glaciation limit. Samples were collected from the glacially eroded bedrock (Ala-107 and 108) and boulders on drumlins (Ala-23–25) on Antenna Hill. The boulders sampled on the drumlins have millimetre-deep weathering pits, centimetre-thick weathering rinds and are undergoing granular disintegration, whereas the bedrock samples were dominantly frost shattered.

A total of nine \(^{10}\)Be ages on Healy landforms range from 28.2 ± 4.0 to 59.0 ± 5.1 ka (Fig. 4; Table 2). Moraine boulders (Ala-11–13 and 157) have \(^{10}\)Be ages that range from 28.2 ± 4.0 to 55.0 ± 5.8 ka, whereas samples collected from drumlins on Antenna Hill (Ala-23–25) have \(^{10}\)Be ages between 56.1 ± 5.5 and 59.0 ± 5.1 ka. Bedrock samples (Ala-107 and 108) have ages of 56.6 ± 4.7 and 52.7 ± 4.3 ka, respectively. After the removal of one outlier (Ala-157; 28.2 ± 4.0 ka), the Healy age boulders have a weighted mean \(\left(M_0\right)\) age of 54.6 ± 3.5 ka with an MSWD indicator of 0.58. We use the \(M_0\) of 54.6 ± 3.5 ka as the minimum age for stabilisation of the Healy moraine.

Previous to our study, it was not known whether the Lignite Creek Moraine belonged to the Healy glaciation or if it was a separate glaciation (Wahrhaftig, 1958; Thorson and Hamilton, 1976; Ten Brink and Waythomas, 1985; Thorson, 1986; Begét and Keskinen, 1991). Our field observations support the view of Thorson and Hamilton (1976), Thorson (1986) and Begét and Keskinen (1991) that the Lignite Creek ridge is a moraine. Only a minimum age (104 ka) was determined for the Lignite Creek moraine, whereas ages on Healy landforms cluster at 54.6 ± 3.5 ka. These \(^{10}\)Be ages support the view that the Lignite Creek is a distinct separate advance from the Healy glaciation.

Riley Creek 1

The Riley Creek 1 terminal moraine is located at the southern end of the glacial trough (Fig. 2; Thorson and Hamilton, 1976). Based on radiocarbon dating of wood in loess deposits on the Riley Creek 1 terrace, Hamilton (1982), Thorson (1986) and Begét and Keskinen (1991) suggested that the Riley Creek 1 phase of the late Wisconsin glaciation occurred between 12 and 25 \(^{14}\)C ka BP (ca. 14–30 cal. ka BP; calibrated using CalPal).
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boulders as evidence of significant landform erosion and/or boulder exhumation event rather than moraine stabilization. We cannot confidently determine the age of the Riley Creek 2.

Carlo Creek

The convex north shape of the Carlo Creek moraine in the Nenana River valley, ∼5 km north of the town of Carlo, suggests that it was formed by ice that flowed north into the valley via the Nenana Corridor (Fig. 2; supporting information, Fig. 1SI). Wahrahtig (1958) described this as ground moraine comprising hummock topography with numerous hollows. Ten Brink and Waythomas (1985) obtained a radiocarbon age of 12110 ± 230 14C a BP (14 200 ± 390 cal. a BP) on peat accumulation outside the limit of Carlo Creek drift, and minimum radiocarbon ages of 9060 ± 160 and 5830 ± 130 14C a BP (10 180 ± 240 and 6650 ± 150 cal. a BP) from basal peat in kettle holes within the ice limit. Eight samples were collected for 10Be dating from boulders on Carlo Creek landforms: six from the moraine (Ala-127, 128, 130, 132–134) and two located on top of the Carlo Creek outwash terrace (Ala-126A/B). Boulders from the Carlo Creek have 10Be ages that range between 13.4 ± 2.5 and 21.8 ± 3.4 ka and an M6 age of 16.0 ± 1.8 ka with an MSWD indicator of 0.56 (Fig. 4). We use the M6 to define the age for the Carlo Creek phase at 16.0 ± 1.8 ka. The tight clustering of seven 10Be ages from Carlo Creek landforms and the agreement of ages between two separate sampling sites, moraine and terrace boulders suggest that the M6 age of 16.0 ± 1.8 ka is likely a good age approximation of moraine and terrace deposition. The Carlo Creek age is also supported morphostratigraphically by ages for deglaciation from Reindeer Hills (14.3 ± 1.3 ka) and the Monahan Flat east site (13.2 ± 2.2 ka); see below.

Reindeer Hills

The glacial benches on Reindeer Hills are covered with boulders. Wahrahtig (1958) suggested that ice from the Nenana and West Fork glaciers covered all but the uppermost peaks of Reindeer Hills during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (supporting information, Fig. 2SI). Samples were collected from fresh granitic boulders for 10Be dating on all five benches. These include Ala-164–166 from bench 1 (lowest), Ala-161 and 162 from bench 2, Ala-158–160 from bench 3, Ala-153–155 from bench 4 and Ala 151 and 152 from bench 5 (highest) (Table 2). The 10Be ages range from ca. 13 ± x to 19 ± x ka [42], and there is no correlation between glacial bench position and 10Be age. These boulders have an M6 age of 14.3 ± 1.2 ka with an MSWD indicator of 0.72 after the removal of one outlier (Ala 158; 12.2 ± 1.4 ka). The overlap of 10Be ages and the low MSWD indicate that these boulders represent one population corresponding to the deglaciation from the Reindeer Hills by 14.3 ± 1.2 ka (supporting information, Fig. 2SI). This indicates that the Nenana Corridor must have been deglaciated by 14.3 ± 1.2 ka. Wahrahtig (1958) suggested that ice from the Nenana and West Fork glaciers flowed through the basin and coalesced with glaciers in Broad Pass. Samples from the western end of Monahan Flat were collected from seven granitic boulders for 10Be dating. These boulders were collected from atop roche moutonnées (supporting information, Fig. 2SI). The granitic erratics (Ala-40–43, 145, 147 and 148) at the western end of Monahan Flat have 10Be ages that range from 1.3 ± 0.1 to 33.6 ± 3.3 ka (Fig. 2SI 2 and 8 and Table 2). These ages do not pass MSWD analysis, and therefore an age of deglaciation is not assigned. Three granitic erratics (Ala-140, 141, 143) from the south-central portion of the Monahan Flat have 10Be ages between 12.8 ± 1.9 and 13.6 ± 2.0 ka (Fig. 4 and Table 2). These ages cluster and have an M6 age of 13.2 ± 2.2 ka with an MSWD value of 0.06.

Observations of 10Be dating on landforms and boulders

The number of outliers and age groups that failed MSWD analysis demonstrates the strong influence of geological processes on our 10Be ages. Our success seems to depend largely on the landform type that was sampled. For example, the 10Be ages from erratics on Healy age drumlins are more tightly clustered than boulders on Healy moraines. Collecting samples from landforms in addition to moraines may provide more reliable chronologies in central Alaska. Erosion, weathering and stability of landforms are probably the major factors in influencing the distribution of 10Be ages on landforms studied here. Our data show that denudation of old (>60 ka) moraines such as the Lignite Creek moraines leads to exhumation of boulders and a large spread of 10Be ages, which ultimately produces a significant underestimation of the true landform age.

We found little correlation between boulder surface texture, which reveals varying degrees of bedrock erosion of our sampled boulders, and 10Be age (supporting information, Table 1SI). However, we did find that visible erosion of boulders has a significant impact on 10Be ages in the range of 100–180 ka (Lignite Creek). This is revealed by samples Ala-137A (78.6 ± 6.3 ka) and 137B (103.9 ± 8.2 ka) that were collected from one boulder 8 × 7.5 × 5.8 m in size (Table 2; supporting information, Table 1SI). These results suggest that sample location on a boulder’s surface may be as important as boulder selection when the landform is >60 ka. On the other hand, varying degrees of erosion visible on boulders from young landforms do not seem to significantly affect 10Be age. Samples Ala-126A (16.0 ± 2.3 ka; collected from a solid surface) and 126B (15.3 ± 2.2 ka; collected from a loose chip inside a weathering pit) on the same boulder yielded ages within one standard deviation of each other.

Isotopic inheritance does not appear to be significant in our study area. Three outliers (Ala-120, 145 and 148) out of 55 samples were identified using 10Be analyses and morphostratigraphic limits. All three samples with identifiable inheritance were collected on young landforms (late Wisconsin). Geological processes such as bedrock weathering and landform degradation increase age uncertainties and make it difficult to identify inheritance on older landforms.

Regional correlations

Correlating our glacial record with other regions in Alaska is challenging, particularly for the Lignite Creek glaciation, where
little geochronological data are available (Briner and Kaufman, 2008). To adequately compare the timing of glaciation, previously published $^{10}$Be/$^{10}$Be ratios from Balascio et al. (2005) and Briner et al. (2001, 2005) were converted to the revised ICN of Nishizumi et al. (2007). Ages were calculated using the (PRIME) Laboratory Rock Age Calculator with the Lal (1991)/Stone (2000) scaling scheme. $^{10}$Be ages were not recalculated and are for zero erosion. We did not make any correction for erosion when calculating the previously published $^{10}$Be ages. We use the chronostratigraphic interpretations of the original authors for all age determinations.

Pre-late Wisconsin

There are few chronologies in Alaska on glacial landforms pre-dating the Wisconsin glaciation. The Delta River valley (~100 km east of the Nenana River valley), however, provides a useful comparison with our data. The age of the Delta glaciation is bracketed between 140 $\pm$ 10 ka and 190 $\pm$ 20 ka using tephrachronology and is correlated to MIS 6 (Begét and Keskinen, 2003). Our chronology (104–180 ka) for the Lignite Creek glaciation suggests that the Lignite Creek and Delta glaciation can be correlated.

Early Wisconsin

The $^{10}$Be ages from the Healy glaciation range from 48 to 59 ka, after removing outliers, and have an $M_w$ age of 54.6 $\pm$ 3.5 ka. The Healy glaciation likely correlates with the Farewell I glacial stage of Briner et al. (2005) in the Swift River valley in the western Alaska Range. The Farewell I glacial stage has recalculated $^{10}$Be ages that range from 45.5 $\pm$ 3.6 to 57.2 $\pm$ 4.2 ka (supporting information, Table 2S). Briner et al. (2005) determined a range of ages for the Farewell I glacial stage by calculating the age of the oldest boulder with a maximum (8 mm ka$^{-1}$) and minimum (4.8 mm ka$^{-1}$) erosion rate. The recalculated age of the oldest boulder uncorrected for erosion is 57.2 $\pm$ 3.9 ka (supporting information, Table 2S). This age overlaps with both the $M_w$ age of 54.6 $\pm$ 3.5 ka and oldest boulder (Ala-25) age of 59.2 $\pm$ 4.6 ka of the Healy glaciation. In the Ahklun Mountains, Briner et al. (2001) obtained four $^{36}$Cl ages to define the timing of Arolik Lake glaciation. The ages are not corrected for erosion and range from 58 to 64 ka. Briner et al. (2001) reported an $M_w$ age of 60.3 $\pm$ 3.2 ka, which overlaps with the age of the Healy glaciation ($M_s$ 54.6 $\pm$ 3.5 ka). The overlap of three chronologies in the Alaska Range and Ahklun mountains suggests that deglaciation from a significant phase of glaciation in the early Wisconsin occurred during earliest MIS 3 to Late MIS 4 in Alaska.

Late Wisconsin

The Carlo Creek ages range from 13 to 22 ka, after removing outliers, and have an $M_w$ age of 16.0 $\pm$ 1.8 ka. The Farewell II moraine of Briner et al. (2005) in the Swift River valley has recalculated $^{10}$Be ages that range from 19.1 $\pm$ 1.5 to 22.1 $\pm$ 1.7 ka. The Hubley Creek moraine in the Jago River valley, northeastern Brooks Range, has recalculated $^{10}$Be ages that range from 16.3 $\pm$ 1.8 to 22.6 $\pm$ 2.5 ka (BR02-1 to BR02-4; Balascio et al., 2005; Briner et al., 2005). Briner et al. (2005) determined a range of ages for the Farewell II and Hubley Creek moraines by calculating the age of the oldest boulder with a maximum (8 mm ka$^{-1}$) and minimum (4.8 mm ka$^{-1}$) erosion rate. The recalculated ages of the oldest boulders for the Farewell II and Hubley Creek moraines uncorrected for erosion are 22.1 $\pm$ 1.7 ka and 22.6 $\pm$ 2.5 ka, respectively. These ages overlap with the oldest boulder (Ala-127) from the Carlo Creek phase (21.8 $\pm$ 3.4 ka) and the single reasonable age from the Riley Creek 2 phase (Ala-121 at 20.4 $\pm$ 3.1 ka).

Briner et al. (2001) obtained 19 $^{36}$Cl ages on the Kisogle, Cloud Lake, Chilly Valley and Gusty Lakes moraines to constrain the timing of the Klak Creek glaciation in the Ahklun Mountains. Briner et al. (2001) excluded outliers from the ultimate age determination, which is 17–19 ka, and 15–18 ka for the Kisogle and Cloud Lake moraines, respectively. The Kisogle moraine has an $M_w$ of 17.5 $\pm$ 0.9 ka and the Cloud Lake moraine has an $M_w$ age of 16.7 $\pm$ 1.4 ka. Briner et al.'s (2001) ages for the Chilly Valley and Gusty Lakes moraines range from 17 to 20 ka and from 18 to 21 ka, respectively. These ages have a mean and standard error of 17.8 $\pm$ 1.9 ka and 19.6 $\pm$ 1.4 ka for the Chilly Valley and Gusty Lakes moraines, respectively. The ages of the six moraines investigated by Balascio et al. (2005) and Briner et al. (2001, 2005) are in reasonable agreement with the age of the Carlo Creek phase (16.0 $\pm$ 1.8 ka).

Conclusion

Defining the timing of glaciation in the central Alaska Range using $^{10}$Be dating is challenging because of the numerous geological processes affecting boulders and rock surfaces in the region. $^{10}$Be ages on boulders from drumlins and glacial boulder clusters have different erosion rates than boulders from non-hummocky end moraines. There is no correlation between visible weathering on boulders and $^{10}$Be age. The position of boulders on the landscape, and type and age of landforms, seem to have a greater impact on $^{10}$Be age than boulder weathering. Despite these problems, landforms dating to the Wisconsin glaciation generally provide reasonable $^{10}$Be ages, with the exception of the Riley Creek phase moraines and Monahan Flat west. The Lignite Creek glaciation likely correlates with MIS 5 or 6 (Table 1). The Healy glaciation dates to 54.6 $\pm$ 3.5 ka (early MIS 3) and represents a reasonable upper limit of $^{10}$Be dating in this region. The Carlo Creek phase landforms date to 16.0 $\pm$ 1.8 ka. Morphostratigraphic position and previous radiocarbon dating brackets the Riley Creek 1 and 2 phases between 16 and 30 ka. Deglaciation of Reindeer Hills and Monahan Flat east occurred by 14.3 $\pm$ 1.3 ka and 13.2 $\pm$ 2.2 ka, respectively.

The correlation of moraines in several mountain belts across Alaska during both early last glacial and the LGM suggests that the region responded to climatic change synchronously. This synchronicity may be important when modelling current climate change and the response of glaciers across Alaska. Moreover, the 16.0 $\pm$ 1.8 ka stabilisation age of the Carlo Creek phase supports the view of Briner et al. (2005) and Birner and Kaufman (2008) that late Wisconsin deglaciation in Alaska occurred during 17–21 ka. Our study highlights the usefulness of exposure dating methods in central Alaska and also illuminates the potential pit falls. The chronostratigraphy we have developed will provide a framework for future studies of palaeoenvironmental change and landscape evolution in central Alaska.
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