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ABSTRACT

The Quaternary glaciation of Gurla Mandhata (Naimon’anyi), an impressive, isolated dome-shaped massif situated in a remote region of southern Tibet (Figs. 1 and 2), was examined using glacial geomorphic methods and dated using 10Be terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides. The oldest moraines, representing an expanded ice cap that stretched ~5 km into the foreland of the Gurla Mandhata massif, likely formed during marine isotope stage (MIS) 10 or an earlier glacial cycle. Another glacial expansion of coalescing piedmont type occurred during the early part of the last glacial cycle or the penultimate glacial cycle, after which glaciers became restricted to entrenched valley types. Impressive latero-frontal moraines at the mouths of most valleys date to the early part of the last glacial cycle and MIS 3. A succession of six sets of moraines within the valleys and up to the contemporary glaciers show that glaciers advanced during the Lateglacial, Early Holocene, Neoglacial and possibly Little Ice Age. The change of style of glaciation throughout the latter part of the Quaternary, from expanded ice caps to deeply entrenched valley glaciers, might reflect: (1) climatic controls with reduced moisture supply to the region over time; and/or (2) tectonic controls reflecting increase basin subsidence due to detachment faulting and enhanced valley incision.

© 2010 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

Gurla Mandhata (Naimon’anyi) is an impressive isolated dome-shaped massif situated in remote region of southern Tibet (Figs. 1 and 2). The massif lies just north of the Greater Himalaya among the source waters of four major Himalayan river systems: the Indus, Sutlej, Ganges and Tsango-Brahmaputra. The glacial geologic record of Gurla Mandhata has the potential to provide important information on the nature of glaciation at the headwaters of these immense river systems, which in turn has important implications for understanding past and predicting future hydrological changes in a transforming climate. Furthermore, Gurla Mandhata is one of the best examples of an evolving massif linked to a crustal-scale detachment system along the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen (Murphy et al., 2002) and provides an excellent natural laboratory to examine the relationships among tectonics, climate, glaciation, erosion and landscape development. To develop a framework for glacial geologic, geomorphic, and paleoclimatic studies in this region, we examine the glacial geologic record of the massif and determine the timing of past glaciation using remote sensing, field mapping and examination of glacial and associated landforms, and we date glacial landforms using 10Be terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (TCN) surface exposure dating methods.

2. Study area

Gurla Mandhata (30.4°N/81.2°E) is located in the Nalakankar Himal in southern Tibet near the southeastern terminus of the >1000-km long right-slip Karakoram fault, between the Main Central Thrust and the Southern Tibetan detachment system to the south and the South Kailas Thrust and the Indus-Yalu Suture Zone to the north. The massif rises from ~3800 m above sea level (asl) to the 34th highest mountain in the world, Gurla Mandhata, at 7694 m asl over a distance of ~25 km, and is drained by the Karnali River, which flows south along the west side of the massif and turns abruptly east before crossing the divide of the Greater Himalaya into Nepal where it flows into the Seti River. Two large lakes, La’nga Co (4572 m asl; also called Rakas) and Mapam Yum Co (4586 m asl; also called Manasarovar) are located ~5 km north of the mountain. Today there are cirques, valley glaciers, and a small ice cap on the massif.

Gurla Mandata is bounded to the west by a normal fault system—the Gurla Mandhata detachment system—which has exhumed...
mid-crustal rocks of the Greater Himalayan Crystalline Sequence (Murphy et al., 2002) which core the massif. Using field mapping and geochronologic and thermobarometric analyses, Murphy et al. (2002) showed that Gurla Mandhata underwent major middle to late Miocene east-west extension along the Gurla Mandhata detachment system. The maximum fault slip occurred along a pair of low-angle normal faults that have caused significant tectonic denudation of the Tethyan Sedimentary Sequence, resulting in juxtaposition of weakly metamorphosed Paleozoic rocks and Tertiary sedimentary rocks in the hanging wall over amphibolite-facies mylonitic schist, marble, gneiss, and variably deformed bodies of leucogranite in the footwall. Murphy et al. (2002) argue for a total slip of between 66 and 35 km across the Gurla Mandhata detachment system, comparable to estimates of slip on the right-slip Karakoram Fault, the Gurla Mandhata detachment system produced the Pulan Basin, which became filled with Neogene sediments (Murphy et al., 2002). Cooling ages on minerals within the massif and geochronologic data on the movement of the Karakoram Fault indicate that the Gurla Mandhata massif has been forming over the last 10–20 Ma, and that the majority of the uplift had occurred by ~8 Ma as a result of extension (Murphy et al., 2000, 2002). Normal faulting continues today, as is evident from fresh fault scarps along the western margin of the massif, widening the pull-apart basin west of the massif.

Glacial landforms record episodes of expanded ice cap glaciation, piedmont, and entrenched valley glaciation with glaciers extending to ~4800 m asl (Ma, 1989). Ma (1989) showed that the glacial geomorphology of the massif records changes in glacial style over time from expanded ice cap, to piedmont, and to entrenched valley glaciation, culminating in a phase of rock glacierization, but he did not speculate on the cause for these changes in glacial style (Fig. 3; Table 1). Through correlation with other parts of the Himalaya and Tibet, Ma (1989) suggested ages for the four major glaciations he recognized (Table 1). Contemporary glaciers are inset into deep, box-shaped valleys (Fig. 2) and are largest on the eastern slopes of the massif. In total there are 58 glaciers in the region covering a total area of ~80 km², but none of these glaciers extend below 5320 m asl (Ma, 1989). These are cirque or valley glaciers of cold-based continental type (Benn and Owen, 2002).

The region has a cold arid continental climate. The only major town in the region, Burang (~20 km SW of the summit of Gurla Mandhata and at ~3900 m asl), has a mean annual temperature of 3°C and a mean annual precipitation total of 176 mm from 1973 to 1984 (Miehe et al., 2004). The mean monthly precipitation record displays two peaks of approximately equal magnitude (~25 mm) in
March–April and August–September. The precipitation that occurs in late winter is likely transported to the area by the westerly winds, while the late summer precipitation is most likely carried inland by the monsoon. In contrast, precipitation is significantly higher to the south and east, and to the west because of the influence of the monsoon and the mid-latitude westerlies, respectively.

3. Methods

3.1. Field methods

The geomorphology of the region was studied in the field, aided by interpretation of Google Earth and ASTER imagery. Detailed studies were undertaken in three areas: (i) the Ronggua valley foreland; (ii) the Muguru valley; and (iii) the Namarodi valley (Fig. 1). These regions were chosen based on their logistical and political accessibility, and to provide some areal coverage of the massif. The chronology of Ma (1989) was examined and evaluated. Moraines of the Naimona'nyi (oldest) and Rigongpu glaciations were very distinctive and we call these M1 and M2, respectively. Individual moraines within the Naimona'nyi glacial moraines were further subdivided into M1a, M1b and M1c to differentiate distinct moraine ridges for sampling. Moraines of Ma’s (1989) Namorangre glaciation were subdivided into M3 and M4 based on relative position and morphostratigraphy. M4 moraines were further subdivided into M4a, M4b and M4c to differentiate distinct moraine

Fig. 2. Views of Gurla Mandhata. (A) Google Earth image viewed E/NE showing foreland, and La'nga Co (Colake) and Mapam Yum Co. (B) View of the western slopes showing glaciated foreland; and (C) view E/SE across Gurla Mandhata illustrating deeply entrenched glacial valleys.
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ridges for sampling. The Neoglacial moraines of Ma (1989) comprise at least six sets of moraines and we subdivide these sequentially from M5 to M10 (youngest). Moraines with the same number in different regions correlate with each other. Glacial and associated landforms were mapped in the field and sedimentary deposits were examined using the methods summarized in Benn and Owen (2002).

3.2. Sampling for ¹⁰Be TCN dating

Samples for ¹⁰Be TCN surface exposure dating were collected from moraines in each of the three study areas and from bedrock in the Namorang valley (Figs. 4–9). The geomorphic characteristics of the boulders, moraines, and the sample sites, including boulder size, degree of weathering, whether surfaces had glacial polish/ striations, and the degree of burial within sediment, were noted to assess whether there might have been any post-depositional modification of the exposed surfaces. The lithology and thickness of the sample, and if applicable, the dip of the sample surface were also recorded. The angle from the sampling sites to the horizon was recorded to make corrections for topographic shielding.

3.3. Laboratory methods for TCN dating

Rock samples were crushed and sieved to obtain the 250–500 μm size fraction. This fraction was chemically leached using a minimum of four acid leaches: aqua regia for >9 h; two 5% HF/HNO₃ leaches for ~24 h; and one or more 1% HF/HNO₃ leach each for ~24 h. A heavy liquid (lithium heteropolytungstate) separation was used after the first 5% HF/HNO₃ leach. The pure quartz that was obtained was spiked with a Be carrier that had a ¹⁰Be/³⁰Be ratio of 2.99 ± 0.22 × 10⁻¹². The spiked quartz was dissolved in concentrated HF and then fumed three times with perchloric acid. Samples were then passed through anion and cation exchange columns to separate the Be fraction. Ammonium hydroxide was added to the Be fractions to precipitate beryllium hydroxide gel. Be(OH)₂ was oxidized by ignition at 750 °C for 5 min in quartz crucibles. The resultant BeO was mixed with Nb powder and loaded in steel targets for the measurement of the ¹⁰Be/³⁰Be ratios by accelerator mass spectrometry at the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. All ¹⁰Be TCN ages for boulder samples were calculated by applying the Lai (1991) and Stone (2000) time-independent model using the CRONUS Earth 2.2 calculator (Balco et al., 2008b; http://hess.ess.washington.edu/math/), with a production rate of 4.5 ± 0.3 ¹⁰Be atoms/gram of quartz/year and isotope ratios were normalized to ¹⁰Be standards prepared by Nishizumi et al. (2007) with a value of 2.85 × 10⁻¹², and using a ¹⁰Be half life of 1.36 × 10⁶ years and rock density of 2.7 g/cm³.

The two oldest samples, NA1 and NA7, were used to calculate erosion rates using the methods of Lai (1991) to provide a weighted mean erosion rate of 1.2 m/ka. Erosion rates of 1.2 m/ka would cause an age of 1 ka to be underestimated by <0.5%, 10 ka age by ~1%, 20 ka age by ~2%, 40 ka age by ~4%, 100 ka by ~10%, 200 ka by ~21% and 400 ka by ~58%.

4. Study area descriptions

4.1. Ronggua Gorge foreland

The Ronggua Gorge foreland study area is situated along the western slopes of Gurla Mandhata and transverse the main normal

---
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fault system of the Gurla Mandhata detachment system (Figs. 1 and 4). The westernmost extent of the study area borders impressive terraces that Murphy et al. (2002) interpreted as eroded Neogene valley fill deposits. The main study area is traversed by an impressive stream that forms a deep canyon within the main Gurla Mandhata massif. The oldest glacial deposits comprise the "morainic platform" of the Naimona'nyi glaciation (Ma, 1989) and form a till sheet with large (2 m diameter) glacial boulders scattered across the landscape. This till sheet is characterized in part by very subdued ridges that broadly follow the outline of the present mountain front. We call these the M1 moraines and we collected samples for 10Be dating from large boulders at three separate locations (M1a, M1b and M1c; Figs. 4 and 5A–C). Glacial boulders from the M1 moraines are well inset into the substrate and are well weathered, with cm-deep cavernous weathering voids, and some boulders have rims weathered flat to the surface (Fig. 5C), while other boulders are completely weathered flush to the surface.

Nearer to the mountain front, subdued ridges are present radiating from the mountain front valley exit. Ma (1989) interpreted these as moraines formed by piedmont glaciers that advanced into the foreland during the Rigongpu glaciation. These moraine ridges have scattered glacial boulders inset into the surface and the boulders are deeply weathered. We call these moraines M2 and sampled boulders on one of the ridges for 10Be dating (Figs. 4 and 5D).

Two sets of moraines are present near the stream that exits the mountain front. Ma (1989) assigns these moraines to the Namorangre glaciation (our M3 and M4). The older and more extensive moraine (M3) forms a prominent ridge rising several tens of meters above and along the contemporary valley (Figs. 4 and 5E). Meter-size boulders are abundant on this moraine. The boulders are weathered, but few exhibit cavernous weathering. Boulders on the dominant ridge were sampled for 10Be dating (Figs. 4 and 5E).

A series of small end moraines are present at the mouth of the main stream at the mountain front. These moraines rise ~10–20 m above the stream and are displaced by normal faults (M4a, M4b and M4c). Boulders present on these moraines exhibit slight granular weathering. Boulders on all three moraines were sampled for 10Be dating (Figs. 4 and 5F–H).

### 4.2. Muguru valley

The Muguru valley faces NW and contains two valley glaciers that extend to 5500 m asl (Fig. 6). The valley contains at least seven...
sets of latero-frontal moraines, which we number M4 through M10. The oldest moraine (M4) forms an arc-shaped ridge at the mouth of the valley, which rises about 15–20 m above the main valley floor (Figs. 6 and 7A). The moraine has large boulders on its crest that exhibit slight granular disintegration, which were sampled for $^{10}$Be dating (Fig. 7A). Ma (1989) assigned this moraine to the Namorangre glaciation. The next moraine up valley (M5) is very subdued and has few boulders on its surface and was not sampled for $^{10}$Be dating.

Further up valley are three sets of latero-frontal moraines that rise between 10–20 m above the valley floor. These are the Neoglacial...
moraines of Ma (1989) and we number them M6, M7 and M8 (Fig. 6). The surfaces of these moraines contain scattered boulders that exhibit very slight granular weathering (Fig. 8B-D). Boulders on all three were sampled for $^{10}$Be dating.

Two sets of moraines are present within a few hundred meters of the contemporary glaciers, comprising sharp crested latero-frontal moraines with abundant unweathered, meter-sized boulders on their surfaces (Figs. 6, and 7E and F). These moraines were numbered M9 and M10, and boulders for $^{10}$Be dating were collected from both. Impressive rock glaciers are present down valley between M8 and M10.

4.3. Namarodi valley

The Namarodi valley study area stretches from the east side of Namarodi Tso (Tso means Lake) to the foreland of the Gurla Mandhata massif south of Mapam Yum Co (Fig. 8). Two sets of impressive moraines (M4a and M4b) are present at the mouth of the Namarodi valley at ~4800 m asl representing piedmont glaciers of the Namorangre glaciation of Ma (1989). These moraines rise several tens of meters above the foreland and are comprised of multiple ridges. Abundant meter-size boulders are present on these moraines, which exhibit slight granular weathering. Boulders on both sets of moraines were sampled for $^{10}$Be dating (Figs. 8, 9A and B).

Small rock glaciers and rock glacierized slopes are abundant between the mouth of the Namarodi valley to ~5500 m asl. Glacially polished bedrock surfaces and a subdued moraine are present at ~5000 m asl, just to the east of Namarodi Tso (Figs. 8 and 9C), which we call M5 and Ma (1989) assigned to the Neoglacial. Samples for $^{10}$Be dating were collected from boulders on this moraine and from the glacially eroded bedrock surfaces (Figs. 8 and 9C).

An impressive end moraine (M6) is present on the west side of Namarodi Tso several hundred meters from the contemporary glacier, rising ~30 m above the lake, (Figs. 8, 9E and F). Abundant meter-size boulders, some of which exhibit granular weathering, are present on the moraine. These were sampled for $^{10}$Be dating.
5. Dating results

The $^{10}$Be dating results are shown in Table 2. Problems associated with the application of surface exposure dating methods to date moraines in the Himalaya and Tibet, and elsewhere, has been discussed in detail in previous work (e.g. Hallet and Putkonen, 1994; Benn and Owen, 2002; Owen et al., 2002, 2003a, 2005, 2006a, 2008, 2009; Putkonen and Swanson, 2003; Putkonen and
These problems include uncertainty introduced in the calculation of the production rate of TCNs and geologic complexities affecting surfaces such as weathering, exhumation, prior exposure and shielding of the surface by snow and/or sediment. Balco et al. (2008a, b) and Owen et al. (2008) highlighted the large uncertainty associated with different scaling models for low-latitude and high-altitude locations such as the Himalaya and showed that there may be as much as 40% difference between scaling models over the last glacial. Much of this difference is due to the uncertainty in correcting for variations in the geomagnetic field intensity, and currently there is much debate regarding the appropriate scaling model that should be used. Given these problems, we apply the Lal (1991) and Stone (2000) time-independent models, but recognize the uncertainty in our calculated ages and use caution when assigning our numerically dated moraines to particular climatographic times.

The geologic factors that complicate dating moraines often overshadow those associated with production rates, especially when the moraines are of great antiquity (many 10s of thousands of years old). These factors generally reduce the concentration of TCNs in rock surfaces, which results in an underestimate of the true age of the landforms and can result in a large spread in apparent exposure ages on a landform. Episodes of prior exposure of rock surfaces are an exception and result in an overestimate of the true age of the moraine. Putkonen and Swanson (2003) argued, however, that only a few percent of dated moraine boulders have had prior exposure. These effects can be partially assessed by collecting multiple samples on a surface that is being dated and assigning an appropriate age based on the distribution of TCN ages. However this is another area of debate and contention, since some researchers argue that the oldest age in a cluster of ages on a moraine (e.g. Briner et al., 2005) is the most appropriate measure of the true age of the landform, while others suggest that statistically analyzing the clustering of the ages, such as applying the mean square of weighted deviates method (e.g. Dortch et al., in press), is more appropriate. In an attempt to be objective, we plot all our ages for each moraine as stacked probability distribution plots and show the range of ages in Fig. 10 and list the maximum age, average and range of ages per moraine in Table 3. We assign our best estimate of the age of the moraine given the distribution of ages on each moraine and by examining the morphotratigraphic context of each moraine in relation to its adjacent moraines (Table 3).

The oldest moraines, of Ma’s (1989) Naimona’nyi glaciation and our M1a–c moraines, were sampled in the foreland of the Ronggua Gorge, and included three distinct moraines. These had exposure ages that ranged from 78–482 ka, but have an average of 281 ± 116 ka (error = 1 τ). M1b and M1c have 4 and 5 boulders older than 300 ka, respectively, while M1 has one older than 300 ka. Given the deep weathering of most of the boulders on these moraines and the large number of boulders older than 300 ka, we argue that these moraines are very much older than 300 ka, but cannot assign a precise age to its formation, suffice to say that they might have formed during marine isotope stage (MIS) 10 or earlier.

10Be ages for boulders on Ma’s (1989) Rigongpu moraines, our M2 moraines, range from 62 to 107 ka, with an average of 79 ± 17 ka. We suggest that these formed in the early part of the last glacial cycle, or the penultimate glacial cycle if we consider the younger ages to be the result of weathering and/or erosion and older 10Be ages to be more representative of the true of the landform.

Boulder ages for M3, Ma’s (1989) Namotraganche, at the mouth of the Rigongpu Gorge range from 28 to 64 ka and show that it formed during the early part of the last glacial cycle. However, the large spread of ages does not allow us to distinguish whether it formed during MIS 4 or MIS 3.

The 10Be ages for boulders on latero-frontal moraines, M4a–c, at the mouth of the Ronggua Gorge all cluster reasonably well with a mean age of 40 ± 9 ka. M4a at the mouth of the Namotraganche provides a similar age at 52 ± 2 ka. In contrast, M4b has young ages, ~ 15 ka, and given their context and the degree of erosion it is likely these ages reflect exhumation or toppling of boulders. Given that weathering rates of 1 m/Ma would only affect the age by a few percent, we argue that the M4 boulders formed during MIS 3.

10Be ages (~ 15–0.1 ka) for boulders on Ma’s (1989) Neoglacial moraines, our M5–10 moraines, show that the moraines span a longer duration than the Neoglacial. Exposure ages from M5

O’Neal, 2006; Seong et al., 2007, 2008, 2009; Putkonen et al., 2008).
null
and M6 in the Namarodi valley (12.4–15.3 ka) span the Lateglacial, but the clustering of boulders was not sufficient to assign them to a specific time during the Lateglacial. M7 in the Muguru valley has ages that cluster around 6.3 ka and we suggest that this moraine formed during the Early Holocene. M8 through M10 in the Muguru valley have Neoglacial ages. 10Be ages on M8 show that it likely formed several thousand years ago. The TCN ages on M9 and M10 show that they likely formed sometime between 0.1 ka and 2.7 ka. Given the position of M10 right next to the active glacier and that its ages are younger than M9, we argue that this moraine represents

![Image](99x84 to 506x421)

**Table 2 (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Landform</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Longitude (°E)</th>
<th>Altitude (masl)</th>
<th>Boulder size a/b/c axis (cm)</th>
<th>Sample thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Shielding correction</th>
<th>10Be atoms 10^4 per g of SiO2</th>
<th>Age^a (ka)</th>
<th>External error^b (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na113 M6</td>
<td>30.4298</td>
<td>81.4180</td>
<td>5531</td>
<td>400/200/300</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>143.90 ± 3.21</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na114 M6</td>
<td>30.4302</td>
<td>81.4178</td>
<td>5533</td>
<td>160/100/40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>136.15 ± 3.27</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na116 M5</td>
<td>30.4316</td>
<td>81.4168</td>
<td>5540</td>
<td>220/110/90</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>222.54 ± 4.53</td>
<td>20.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na104 M5</td>
<td>30.4365</td>
<td>81.4479</td>
<td>5499</td>
<td>230/200/40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>132.16 ± 3.34</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na105 M5</td>
<td>30.4364</td>
<td>81.4475</td>
<td>5501</td>
<td>220/140/70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>79.56 ± 2.02</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na106 M5</td>
<td>30.4363</td>
<td>81.4484</td>
<td>5499</td>
<td>200/170/80</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>137.55 ± 3.55</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na107 M5</td>
<td>30.4365</td>
<td>81.4488</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td>340/180/60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>165.76 ± 4.02</td>
<td>15.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na108 M5</td>
<td>30.4373</td>
<td>81.4464</td>
<td>5497</td>
<td>220/180/40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9983</td>
<td>125.31 ± 3.15</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na111 bedrock</td>
<td>30.4380</td>
<td>81.4464</td>
<td>5511</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9884</td>
<td>119.29 ± 2.01</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na112 bedrock</td>
<td>30.4379</td>
<td>81.4464</td>
<td>5515</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9884</td>
<td>132.80 ± 2.34</td>
<td>12.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na88 M4b</td>
<td>30.5397</td>
<td>81.4231</td>
<td>4802</td>
<td>210/130/80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120.12 ± 2.67</td>
<td>15.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na89 M4b</td>
<td>30.5387</td>
<td>81.4238</td>
<td>4815</td>
<td>220/140/130</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120.91 ± 2.13</td>
<td>15.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na86 M4a</td>
<td>30.5422</td>
<td>81.4280</td>
<td>4762</td>
<td>160/100/70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>390.86 ± 7.52</td>
<td>50.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na97 M4a</td>
<td>30.5421</td>
<td>81.4280</td>
<td>4764</td>
<td>220/120/40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>418.43 ± 10.10</td>
<td>53.7 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a The error is the internal uncertainty, which only takes into account the measurement uncertainty.

^b The external uncertainty includes all uncertainties including the production rate uncertainty.

---

**Fig. 10.** Stacked probability distributions for 10Be TCN surface exposure ages for each moraine in (A) the Ronggua valley foreland; (B) the Muguru valley; and (C) the Namarodi valley. The smaller graphs in part (A) are for each of the individual moraines dated for M1 and M4. The probability plots are constructed using the age and external error for each sample. Each plot has a relative scale based on the data examined therein.
a Little Ice Age advance, with its older TCN ages reflecting some inheritance of TCNs due to prior exposure. Clearly any slight inheritance of \(^{10}\)Be TCNs would greatly influence the young boulder’s ages. In addition, the age may be affected by toppling and/or exhumation as the moraine stabilizes after glacial retreat, which will result in younger ages than the true age of the moraine (cf. Dorch et al., in press).

6. Discussion

Glacial geologic evidence shows that glaciation on the Gurla Mandhata massif changes from expanded ice caps to deeply entrenched valley glaciers over the latter part of the Quaternary (Figs. 1–3). Assigning ages to the moraines produced during each glacial advance is challenging because of the problems associated with the application of TCN surface exposure dating methods. Nevertheless, we can broadly assign ages to the glacial succession on the Gurla Mandhata massif as discussed in the previous section. This shows that the oldest glaciation, Ma’s (1989) Naimona’nyi glaciation, produced moraines considerably older than 300 ka and thus likely formed during MIS 10 or during an earlier glacial cycle.

The moraines of the Naimona’nyi glaciation are among the oldest glacial landforms dated in the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen. Moraines of similar age are present in the Indus Valley in Ladakh where extensive valley glaciers advanced to an elevation below 3250 m asl at ~430 ka (Owen et al., 2006a). Old glacier successions are also present in Muztag Ata and Kongur Shan where Seong et al. (2009) dated an expanded ice cap that advanced into the massif’s foreland prior to the penultimate glacial cycle, in the Tanggula Shan where Schäfer et al. (2001) and Owen et al. (2005) dated moraines formed by an expanded ice cap to penultimate glacial cycle, and in the Rongbuk valley, north of Mt Everest, where Owen et al. (2006b) dated erratics to ~330 ka, which represented extensive valley glaciers. In addition, Owen et al. (2006b), Chevallier et al. (2005) and Schäfer et al. (2008) dated moraines that they argued formed during the penultimate or an earlier glacial cycle in the Ayalari Range, Nyalam and Kunlun Shan, respectively.

Our \(^{10}\)Be dating shows that another glaciation (M2), the Rigongpu glaciation of Ma (1989), with moraines ranging in age from 62 to 107 ka, occurred during the early part of the last glacial cycle or if the younger \(^{10}\)Be ages in the distribution are the result of weathering and/or exhumation then this would formed during the penultimate glacial cycle. During this glaciation the piedmont glaciers extended a few kilometers beyond the mountain front and coalesced with each other. The large time lag between the Naimona’nyi and Rigongpu glaciation (300 ka cf. ~62–107 ka) is intriguing. It suggests that either there was no significant advance during this time interval, or that we did not recognize evidence for a glaciation in this interval because glaciers did not advance beyond the Rigongpu glacier limits and their evidence was destroyed by the later, more extensive Rigongpu glaciation.

The Rigongpu glaciation of Ma (1989) was followed by a change in glacial style to entrenched valley glaciers. The oldest two of these glacial advances (M3 and M4) occurred during the Namorangre glaciation of Ma (1989) when glaciers advanced to the mouths of most valleys throughout the massif (Fig. 3). Boulders from M3 glaciers range in age between 28 and 64 ka and two sets of M2 glacial boulders yield mean ages of 40 ± 9 ka and 52 ± 2 ka, dating to the early part of the last glacial cycle and MIS 3. Numerous studies have shown that glaciers advanced during MIS 3 throughout the Himalaya and Tibet, and that these advances were more extensive than those associated with MIS 2 (e.g. Finkel et al., 2003; Owen et al., 2002, 2003a, 2005, 2006b, 2009; Seong et al., 2009).

A succession of at least six sets of moraines is present within the valleys to the contemporary glaciers, which Ma (1989) attributes to the Neoglacial. Our \(^{10}\)Be dating of these moraines shows that they represent a much longer duration of glacier oscillations extending from the Late-glacial to the Little Ice Age. \(^{10}\)Be ages on the M5 and M6 in the Namarongre valley suggest that they formed sometime during the Late-glacial. Similar Late-glacial advances have been recognized elsewhere in the Himalaya (Finkel et al., 2003; Owen et al., 2001, 2002, 2003a,b, 2005), but assigning any \(^{10}\)Be dated moraine to a specific time during the Late-glacial is challenging because the associated uncertainty with the age is quite large.
(usually >10%). It is likely that some of the moraines represent advances during the Lateglacial Interstadial and/or Early Holocene, representing times of increased moisture supply and thus positive glacier mass balances.

Moraine M7 in the Muguru valley has 10Be ages that cluster around 6.3 ka, which suggests that this moraine formed during the Early Holocene. Glaciation during the Early Holocene is very pervasive throughout the Himalaya and Tibet and likely represents positive glacier mass balances related to enhanced moisture supply and increased cloudiness to the Himalaya during a time of increased insolation (Finkel et al., 2003; Owen et al., 2001, 2002, 2003ab,c, 2005, 2006ab, 2009; Seong et al., 2009; Zech et al., 2003; Rupper et al., 2009).

Moraines M8 through M10 in the Muguru valley have Neoglacial ages. M8 likely formed several thousand years ago, while 10Be ages on M9 and M10 show that they possibly formed ~1000 years ago. M10, however, might have formed during the Little Ice Age. Owen (2009) discusses the nature of Neoglacial glacier fluctuations in the Himalaya and Tibet and highlights the abundance of evidence for significant Neoglacial advances in most regions, but also emphasizes the uncertainty in defining the age of the Little Ice Age in the Himalaya and Tibet, and also in assigning moraines to the Little Ice Age. Seong et al. (2009) showed that throughout the Lateglacial and Holocene, glaciers in Muztag Ata and Kongur Shan have likely been responding to Northern Hemisphere climate oscillations (rapid climate changes), with minor influences from the south Asian monsoon. This might be the case for Gurla Mandhata, but more numerical dating of the moraine successions is needed to test this possibility.

Explaining the change of style of glaciation from expanded ice caps to entrenched valley glacier systems on Gurla Mandhata is difficult. Similar changes in the style of glaciation have been recognized elsewhere in the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen, including Tanggula Shan, and Muztag Ata and Kongur Shan (Owen et al., 2005; Seong et al., 2009). Owen et al. (2005) argued that the regional patterns and timing of glaciation throughout the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen likely reflect temporal and spatial variability in the south Asian monsoon and, in particular, regional precipitation gradients. Owen et al. (2005) believed that in zones of greater aridity, the extent of glaciation has become increasingly restricted throughout the Late Quaternary leading to the preservation of old glacial landforms (~100 ka), whereas in regions that are very strongly influenced by the monsoon (1600 mm a\(^{-1}\)), the preservation potential of pre-Lateglacial moraine successions is generally extremely poor. This is possible because Lateglacial and Holocene glacial advances may have been more extensive than earlier glaciations and hence may have destroyed any landform or sedimentary evidence for the earlier glaciations. Furthermore, Owen et al. (2005) argued that the intense denudation, which characterizes these wetter environments results in rapid erosion and re-sedimentation of glacial and associated landforms, which also contributes to their poor preservation potential.

Seong et al. (2009) suggested that the change in style of glaciation in Muztag Ata and Kongur Shan likely represents a significant reduction over the last few glacial cycles of the moisture flux to the region that is needed to maintain positive glacier mass balances. Similarly this could be the case in Gurla Mandhata. Furthermore, Seong et al. (2009) went on to hypothesize that this might reflect a change in regional climatic forcing that might be the result of the progressive surface uplift of the adjacent mountain ranges that restricted the supply of moisture by the monsoon and westerlies to the region. Although we cannot test this at present similar factors might have controlled the style of glaciation in Gurla Mandhata. Similar arguments regarding uplift of mountains and reduced moisture supply were proposed by Owen et al. (2006a) for progressive restriction of glaciation in Ladakh over the last 400 ka. However, drawing links between mountain uplift and changes in regional climate is very tentative because it is not possible to define the timing and magnitude of surface uplift for most mountain ranges. In addition, the similar pattern of progressive restriction of glaciation over the last ~400 ka for the Transhimalaya (Owen et al., 2005, 2006a, 2008) and the more arid areas of Tibet suggests that regional climate change rather than localized tectonics is responsible for the pattern of glaciation. Furthermore, Owen et al. (2006a) and Seong et al. (2009) pointed out that in other mountain regions of the world, such as Tasmania, the Sierra Nevada, Alaska, the Peruvian Andes, Patagonia, and the Chilean Lake District, glaciation has also become progressively less extensive over time. This suggests that the decreasing extent of mountain glaciation globally, and specifically for Gurla Mandhata based on the chronology presented here, over at least the last 400 ka may be related to a global pattern of climate change. The reason for this changing pattern of glaciation, however, has yet to be resolved.

Muztag Ata and Kongur Shan, and Gurla Mandhata, however, add an extra complexity to the argument for forcing due to climate change. Both areas are experiencing active detachment faulting that is resulting in the rapid exhumation of bedrock and adjacent basin formation (Arward et al., 1993; Murphy et al., 2000, 2002). The pattern of glaciation in these two regions, although separated by >1000 km at either end of the Karakoram Fault, is remarkably similar in style. The rapid exhumation in both regions might be broadly equated to the growth of the two massifs, which initiated glaciation as ice caps when the massifs became sufficiently high to enhance orographic precipitation. As the adjacent basins deepened, during times of deglaciation, rivers rapidly incised deep valleys into Gurla Mandhata, which were then occupied by glaciers during later glacial times, resulting in entrenchment of the glaciers. Although the change in vertical relief is not well defined, it could be as much as 500 m in the last 1 Ma based from the amount of extension determined by Murphy et al. (2000, 2002). Whether this is enough to cause a change in the pattern of glaciation is not known. This tectonic explanation for changing the style of glaciation does not really explain the decrease in total ice volume over time. The pattern of glaciation we see in both the Muztag Ata and Kongur Shan, and Gurla Mandhata regions, however, might reflect a combination of these regional climatic and tectonic geomorphic controls.

These intriguing changes in patterns of glaciation clearly need to be examined more widely throughout the Himalaya and Tibet, and our study leaves many questions open for debate, such as how important is local and regional tectonics, and/or topography are in controlling glacial style, timing and extent. Our data, however, provide the first comprehensive chronostatigraphy for the Gurla Mandhata massif, which in turn should help form a structure to understand the nature of glaciation in southern Tibet and the northernmost Himalaya. In addition, the glacial chronology that is provided forms a framework for future studies that may examine the relationships among climate, glaciation, erosion, and landscape development in the Himalayan–Tibetan orogen.

7. Conclusions

Ten sets of moraines have been dated using 10Be TCNs on the Gurla Mandhata massif. The oldest moraines, produced during the Naimona'nyi glaciation of Ma (1989), likely formed during MIS 10 or an earlier glacial cycle by an expanded ice cap that stretched ~5 km into the foreland of the massif. This was followed by a glacial expansion resulting in piedmont glaciers extending a few kilometers beyond the mountain front. This glacial expansion is the Rigongu glaciation of Ma (1989) and occurred during the early part of the last glacial cycle or the penultimate glacial cycle. This
glaciation was followed by a change in glacial style to entrenched valley glaciers that produced impressive latero-frontal moraines. The oldest of these glacial advances in the Rigongpu glaciation produced moraines that occur at the mouths of most valleys and date to MIS 6 or the early part of the last glacial cycle. This glacial advance was followed by a later glacial advance, which is dated to early last glacial or MIS 4, and followed by an advance that is dated to MIS 3. These two glacial advances occurred during the Namorangle glaciation of Ma (1989). A succession of six sets of moraines within the valleys of Gurla Mandhata up to the contemporary glaciers represent glacial advances that occurred during the Late-glacial, Early Holocene, Neoglacial and possibly Little Ice Age. Glaciation on the Gurla Mandhata massif changed from expanded ice caps to deeply entrenched valley glaciers between at least the time of MIS 10 to the beginning of the last glacial. Explaining this change in the style of glaciation is difficult, but it might be due to changes in regional climate, which by comparison with other Tibetan and Transhimalayan regions suggests that glaciation became very restricted in extent over the Middle and Late Pleistocene. Alternatively, the change in style of glaciation might reflect tectonic controls such as increasing basin subsidence due to active detachment faulting and enhanced valley incision associated with increased relative relief and greater slopes to produce entrapped glaciation. It is likely, however, that a combination of climatic and tectonic controls is responsible for the change in style of glaciation.

Our dating provides the first quantitative chronology for the Gurla Mandhata massif and forms a structure to help understand the nature of glaciation, climate change, erosion and landscape development in southern Tibet and northernmost Himalaya.
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